

		<p align="center">NATO Government Quality Assurance Delegation Feedback Form (DFB)</p>			
RGQA Number:	PO#4500249828	Revision Number:		Date	
RIAC Number:	ÚOŠKŠOK-251-14-/2012	Revision Number:		Date	
Part 1 – Delegatee Feedback on RGQA and RIAC: 1 Poor/Insufficient; 2 Adequate; 3 Good; 4 Excellent					
Were the risks clearly identified?(Reference Section 5, 6, & Annex C)?		1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
Did the RGQA and/ or RIAC contain all reference or information needed for the GQAR to plan and perform GQA?		1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
Was the RGQA timely issued (Reference Section 7)?		1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
Delegatee comments: <p align="center"><i>You are invited to provide any additional feedback especially if you have selected 1 or 4 (Delete this text when filled in)</i></p>					
Part 2-a Delegator Feedback on Communication: RGQA Acknowledgement and Acceptance: No 1; Yes 4					
Timely Acknowledgment (Reference Section 9)?				1 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Timely RGQA Response (Acceptance/Rejection) (Reference Section 9)?				1 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Delegator comments: <p align="center"><i>GQAR's assistance request were addressed and replies from SVK GQAR were received promptly.</i></p>					
Part 2-b Quality of the service provided by the Delegatee: 1. Poor/Insufficient; 2 Adequate; 3 Good; 4 Excellent					
How was the communication (Reference Section 4 and throughout the process)?		1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
How was the content/form of the GQA deliverables(RIAC, reports, CoCs, QDRs...) (Reference Section 4 and throughout the process)?		1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
How was the timescale of the GQA deliverables? (RIAC, reports, CoCs, QDRs...) (Reference Section 4 and throughout the process)?		1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
What level of confidence provided?		1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
Delegator comments: <p align="center"><i>SVK GQAR Office provided high quality assistance to the project management.</i></p>					
1: Insufficient/Poor: Not enough, inadequate or not responding to the agreed RGQA. 2: Adequate. Acceptable, but could be improved. 3: Good: In line with the expected result, having the desirable or necessary qualities regarding the agreed RGQA. 4: Excellent: Of a very high quality level. Delighted with the results.					
Delegatee/Delegator Signature (Signature not Required if Sent electronically): Mgr. Štefan ČERNUŠKA Krzysztof NAGADOWSKI, NSPA (Delegator)				Date: 31.08.2012 04.09.2012	