



**NATO Government Quality Assurance
Delegation Feedback Form (DFB)**

RGQA Number:	PO#4500249828	Revision Number:		Date	
RIAC Number:	ÚOŠKŠOK-251-14-/2012	Revision Number:		Date	

Part 1 – Delegatee Feedback on RGQA and RIAC:

1 Poor/Insufficient; 2 Adequate; 3 Good; 4 Excellent

Were the risks clearly identified?(Reference Section 5, 6, & Annex C)?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
Did the RGQA and/ or RIAC contain all reference or information needed for the GQAR to plan and perform GQA?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
Was the RGQA timely issued (Reference Section 7)?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>

Delegatee comments:

*You are invited to provide any additional feedback especially if you have selected 1 or 4
(Delete this text when filled in)*

Part 2-a Delegator Feedback on Communication: RGQA Acknowledgement and Acceptance:

No 1; Yes 4

Timely Acknowledgment (Reference Section 9)?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Timely RGQA Response (Acceptance/Rejection) (Reference Section 9)?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Delegator comments:

GQAR's assistance request were addressed and replies from SVK GQAR were received promptly.

Part 2-b Quality of the service provided by the Delegatee:

1. Poor/Insufficient; 2 Adequate; 3 Good; 4 Excellent

How was the communication (Reference Section 4 and throughout the process)?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
How was the content/form of the GQA deliverables(RIAC, reports, CoCs, QDRs...) (Reference Section 4 and throughout the process)?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>
How was the timescale of the GQA deliverables? (RIAC, reports, CoCs, QDRs...) (Reference Section 4 and throughout the process)?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
What level of confidence provided?	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>

Delegator comments:

SVK GQAR Office provided high quality assistance to the project management.

- 1: Insufficient/Poor: Not enough, inadequate or not responding to the agreed RGQA.
- 2: Adequate. Acceptable, but could be improved.
- 3: Good: In line with the expected result, having the desirable or necessary qualities regarding the agreed RGQA.
- 4: Excellent: Of a very high quality level. Delighted with the results.

Delegatee/Delegator Signature (Signature not Required if Sent electronically): Mgr. Štefan ČERNUŠKA Krzysztof NAGADOWSKI, NSPA (Delegator)	Date: 31.08.2012 04.09.2012
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------